L^P-CONTINUITY OF WAVE OPERATORS FOR HIGHER ORDER SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH THRESHOLD EIGENVALUES IN HIGH DIMENSIONS

M. BURAK ERDOĞAN, WILLIAM R. GREEN, KEVIN LAMASTER

ABSTRACT. We consider the higher order Schrödinger operator $H = (-\Delta)^m + V(x)$ in n dimensions with real-valued potential V when $n > 4m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We adapt our recent results for $m > 1$ to show that when H has a threshold eigenvalue the wave operators are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the natural range $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m}$ in both even and odd dimensions. The approach used works without distinguishing even and odd cases, and matches the range of boundedness in the classical case when $m = 1$. The proof applies in the classical $m = 1$ case as well and simplifies the argument.

1. INTRODUCTION

We continue the study of wave operators for higher order Schrödinger operators related to equations of the form

$$i\psi_t = (-\Delta)^m \psi + V\psi, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Here V is a real-valued potential with polynomial decay, $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for some sufficiently large $\beta > 0$, and some smoothness conditions, see [4] or Assumption 1.2 below. Note that when $m = 1$ this is the classical Schrödinger equation. We consider the case when $(-\Delta)^m + V$ has an eigenvalue at zero energy in high dimensions $n > 4m$. It is well known that there are no threshold obstructions other than eigenfunctions when $n > 4m$.

Denote the free operator by $H_0 = (-\Delta)^m$ and the perturbed operator by $H = (-\Delta)^m + V$. We study the L^p boundedness of the wave operators, which are defined by

$$W_\pm = s - \lim_{t \to \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_0}.$$

For the class of potentials we consider, the wave operators exist and are asymptotically complete, [17, 19, 1, 11, 18]. Furthermore, the intertwining identity

$$f(H)P_{ac}(H) = W_\pm f((-\Delta)^m)W_\pm^*$$

holds for these potentials where $P_{ac}(H)$ is the projection onto the absolutely continuous spectral subspace of H, and f is any Borel function. The intertwining identity and L^p continuity of the wave operators allows one to obtain L^p-based mapping properties of operators of the form $f(H)P_{ac}(H)$ from those of the much simpler operators $f((-\Delta)^m)$.

As usual, we begin with the stationary representation of the wave operators

$$(1) \qquad W_+ u = u - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \mathcal{R}_V^+(\lambda) V [\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda)] u \, d\lambda,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_V(\lambda) = ((-\Delta)^m + V - \lambda)^{-1}$, $\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda) = ((-\Delta)^m - \lambda)^{-1}$, and the '+' and '-' denote the usual limiting values as λ approaches the positive real line from above and below, [1, 6]. As in previous works, [4, 5], we consider W_+, bounds for W_- follow by conjugation since $W_- = \mathcal{C}W_+\mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}u(x) = \overline{u}(x)$. Since the identity operator is bounded on all L^p spaces, it suffices to control the contribution of the integral involving the resolvent operators.

In dimensions $n > 4m$, there are no resonances at the threshold (zero energy), [6]. This mirrors the case of dimensions $n > 4$ in the classical ($m = 1$) Schrödinger operator where threshold resonances cannot exist. In the classical case, the existence of threshold eigenvalues limits the upper range of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of the wave operators, generically to $1 \le p < \frac{n}{2}$, [24, 9, 25]. Here we prove the analogous result for the higher order Schrödinger operators in Theorem 1.3 below. Our proof doesn't distinguish between $m = 1$ and $m > 1$, and hence applies to the classical case as well where it simplifies the existing arguments.

Our main result is to control the low energy portion of the evolution when there is a threshold eigenvalue. Our strategy builds on the approach in [5], extending the argument to control the singularities in the spectral parameter caused by the eigenvalue. Using resolvent identity, one has

$$\mathcal{R}_V^+ = \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} (-1)^j \mathcal{R}_0^+ (V\mathcal{R}_0^+)^j + (\mathcal{R}_0^+ V)^k \mathcal{R}_V^+ (V\mathcal{R}_0^+)^k.$$

The contribution of the jth term of the finite sum to (1) is denoted by W_j and the contribution of the remainder by $W_{r,k}$. W_j is unaffected by the existence of threshold obstructions, while $W_{r,k}$ is affected only when λ is in a neighborhood of zero. To make this more precise, take a smooth cut-off function $\chi \in C_0^\infty$ for a sufficiently small neighborhood of zero, and let $\widetilde{\chi} = 1 - \chi$ be the complementary cut-off away from a neighborhood of zero. Define

$$W_{low,k}u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \chi(\lambda)(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda)V)^k \mathcal{R}_V^+(\lambda)(V\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda))^k V[\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda)]u \, d\lambda,$$

$$W_{high,k}u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \widetilde{\chi}(\lambda)(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda)V)^k \mathcal{R}_V^+(\lambda)(V\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda))^k V[\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda)]u \, d\lambda.$$

Throughout the paper, we write $\langle x \rangle$ to denote $(1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $A \lesssim B$ to say that there exists a constant C with $A \leq CB$, and write $a- := a - \epsilon$ and $a+ := a + \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Our main technical result is

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n > 4m \geq 4$. Assume that $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$, where V is a real-valued potential on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\beta > n + 4$ when n is odd and $\beta > n + 3$ when n is even. If $H = (-\Delta)^m + V(x)$ has an eigenvalue at zero, but no positive eigenvalues, then $W_{low,k}$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m}$ provided that k is sufficiently large.*

We need sufficiently large k when $n > 4m$ due to local singularities of the free resolvents that are not square integrable. The main novelty is that the arguments are fairly streamlined, we avoid long operator-valued expansions of the perturbed resolvent by adapting the methods in [4, 5] to control the singularity as $\lambda \to 0$ that occurs when

there is a zero energy eigenvalue. We further note that no additional decay is needed on the potential compared to the regular case, [4].

To put this result in the context, recall the first L^p boundedness result in the seminal paper of Yajima, [20], for $m = 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ for small potentials. For large potentials, the main difficulty is in controlling the contribution of $W_{low,k}$. The behavior of this operator differs in even and odd dimensions. In [20, 21, 22], Yajima removed smallness or positivity assumptions on the potential for all dimensions $n \geq 3$. These arguments were simplified and Yajima further considered the effect of zero energy eigenvalues and/or resonances in [23] when n is odd and with Finco in [7] when n is even for $n > 4$ to establish boundedness of the wave operators when $\frac{n}{n-2} < p < \frac{n}{2}$. These results were further extended to show that the range of p is generically $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2}$ in the presence of a zero energy eigenvalue, and that the upper range of p may be larger under certain orthogonality conditions, [24, 9, 25].

We now give more details in the case $m > 1$ to state the new corollary of our result above on the L^p boundedness of wave operators. Let $\mathcal{F}(f)$ denote the Fourier transform of f.

Assumption 1.2. *Fix $n > 4m$ and $m \geq 1$. For some $0 < \delta \ll 1$, $\sigma > \frac{2n-4m}{n-1-\delta} + \delta$, assume that the real-valued potential V satisfies the condition*

$$\left\|\mathcal{F}(\langle \cdot \rangle^\sigma V(\cdot))\right\|_{L^{\frac{n-1-\delta}{n-2m-\delta}}} < \infty.$$

In [4], by adapting Yajima's $m = 1$ argument in [20], the first two authors showed that the contribution of the terms of the Born series may be bounded by

$$\|W_j\|_{L^p \to L^p} \leq C^j \left\|\mathcal{F}(\langle \cdot \rangle^\sigma V(\cdot))\right\|_{L^{\frac{n-1-\delta}{n-2m-\delta}}}^j,$$

for some constant $C > 0$. In addition, it was shown that if $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > n + 5$ when n is odd and $\beta > n + 4$ when n is even and if k is sufficiently large (depending on m and n), then $W_{high,k}$ is a bounded operator on L^p for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ provided there are no positive eigenvalues. The absence of positive eigenvalues is a common assumption for higher order operators since there may be positive eigenvalues even for smooth, compactly supported potentials, see [6].

Combining these facts with Theorem 1.1, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Fix $m \geq 1$ and let $n > 4m$. Assume that V satisfies Assumption 1.2 and in addition*

   i) $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ *for some $\beta > n+5$ when n is odd and for some $\beta > n+4$ when n is even,*

   ii) $H = (-\Delta)^m + V(x)$ *has an eigenvalue at zero energy, but no positive eigenvalues.*

Then, the wave operators extend to bounded operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m}$.

By applying the intertwining identity and the known $L^p \to L^{p'}$ dispersive bounds when p' is the Hölder conjugate of p for the free solution operator $e^{-it(-\Delta)^m}$, we obtain the corollary below.

Corollary 1.4. *Under the assumptions of Corollary 1.3, for $\frac{n}{n-2m} < p' \leq 2$ we obtain the dispersive estimates*

$$\|e^{-itH} P_{ac}(H) f\|_p \lesssim |t|^{-\frac{n}{m}(\frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2})} \|f\|_{p'}.$$

The study of the L^p boundedness of the wave operators in the higher order $m > 1$ case has only recently begun. In the case when there are no eigenvalues or resonances in the ac spectrum, the case $m = 2$ and $n = 3$ was studied by Goldberg and the second author, [10]. The case $n > 2m$ was studied by the first two authors in [4, 5]. In [2] the first two authors and Goldberg showed that a certain amount of smoothness of the potentials is necessary to control the large energy behavior in the L^p boundedness.

In [14], Mizutani, Wan, and Yao considered the case of $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ showing that the wave operators are bounded when $1 < p < \infty$, but not when $p = 1, \infty$, where weaker estimates involving the Hardy space or BMO were proven depending on the type of threshold obstruction. More recently in [8], Galtbayar and Yajima considered the case $m = 2$ and $n = 4$ showing that the wave operators are bounded on $1 < p < \infty$ if zero is regular with restrictions on the upper range of p if zero is not regular depending on the type of resonance at zero. Mizutani, Wan, and Yao [15, 16] studied the endpoint behavior and the effect of zero energy resonances when $m = 2$ and $n = 3$. This recent

work on higher order, $m > 1$, Schrödinger operators has roots in the work of Feng, Soffer, Wu and Yao [6] which considered time decay estimates between weighted L^2 spaces.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall important resolvent expansions and prove Proposition 2.1, which shows L^p boundedness for a class of operators of the form needed in Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we prove further resolvent expansions tailored to the case of zero energy eigenvalues to show that Proposition 2.1 applies to the operator $W_{low,k}$ for large enough k, which suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 and consequently Theorem 1.3. Finally in Section 4 we provide technical lemmas needed to prove Proposition 2.1.

2. OPERATOR BOUNDS

In this section we reduce proving Theorem 1.1 to showing that a certain family of operators extend to bounded operators on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on the desired range of p. To do this we utilize various resolvent expansions and adapt the argument from the case when zero is regular to account for the extra singularity in the spectral parameter that arises when $(-\Delta)^{2m} + V$ has a zero energy eigenvalue.

It is convenient to use a change of variables $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{2m}$ to represent $W_{low,k}$

$$\frac{m}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty \chi(\lambda) \lambda^{2m-1} (\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V)^k \mathcal{R}_V^+(\lambda^{2m})(V\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}))^k V[\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda^{2m})]\, d\lambda.$$

We begin by using the symmetric resolvent identity on the perturbed resolvent $\mathcal{R}_V^+(\lambda^{2m})$. With $v = |V|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $U(x) = 1$ if $V(x) \geq 0$ and $U(x) = -1$ if $V(x) < 0$, we define $M^+(\lambda) = U + v\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})v$.

Using the symmetric resolvent identity, one has

(2) $$\mathcal{R}_V^+(\lambda^{2m})V = \mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})vM^+(\lambda)^{-1}v.$$

Therefore, we have

$$W_{low,k} = \frac{m}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty \chi(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) v \Gamma_k(\lambda) v [\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda^{2m})]\, d\lambda,$$

where $\Gamma_0(\lambda) := \lambda^{2m} M^+(\lambda)^{-1}$ and for $k \geq 1$

(3) $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$

$$:= Uv\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})\big(V\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})\big)^{k-1}v[\lambda^{2m}M^+(\lambda)^{-1}]v\big(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V\big)^{k-1}\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})vU.$$

When zero energy is an eigenvalue, the resolvent $\mathcal{R}_V$ becomes unbounded as $\lambda \to 0$. Under the change of variables the singularity is of order λ^{-2m}. The definition of $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ above multiplies $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ by λ^{2m} to account for this singularity.

To state our main result, we recall the following terminology from previous works involving wave operators and dispersive estimates. An operator $T : L^2 \to L^2$ with integral kernel $T(x, y)$ is absolutely bounded if the operator with kernel $|T(x, y)|$ is bounded as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We recall that finite rank operators and Hilbert-Schmidt operators are absolutely bounded, where T is Hilbert-Schmidt if

$$\|T\|_{HS}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |T(x, y)|^2 \, dx \, dy < \infty.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Fix $n > 4m \geq 4$ and let Γ be a λ dependent operator. Assume that*

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, y) := \sup_{0 < \lambda < \lambda_0} \left[\sup_{0 \leq \ell \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1} \left| \lambda^\ell \partial_\lambda^\ell \Gamma(\lambda)(x, y) \right| \right]$$

satisfies the pointwise estimate

(4) $$\widetilde{\Gamma}(x, y) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}-} \langle y \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}-}.$$

If $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > n_\star$ where $n_\star = n + 4$ if n is odd and $n_\star = n + 3$ if n is even, then the operator with kernel

(5) $$K(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \chi(\lambda) \lambda^{-1} \big[\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) v \Gamma(\lambda) v [\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda^{2m})] \big](x, y) d\lambda$$

is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m}$.

The claim of Theorem 1.1 follows in Section 3 by showing that $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ defined in (3) satisfies the hypotheses of this proposition. To prove the proposition we need the following representations of the free resolvent, these are Lemma 2.3, 2.4, and Remark 2.5 in [5], which have their roots in [4].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n > 2m \geq 2$. Then, we have the representations*

$$\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})(y, u) = \frac{e^{i\lambda|y-u|}}{|y-u|^{n-2m}} F(\lambda|y-u|).$$

and

$$[\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m}) - \mathcal{R}_0^-(\lambda^{2m})](y, u) = \lambda^{n-2m} \big[e^{i\lambda|y-u|} F_+(\lambda|y-u|) + e^{-i\lambda|y-u|} F_-(\lambda|y-u|) \big],$$

With the bounds

$$(6) \qquad |\partial_\lambda^N F(\lambda r)| \lesssim \lambda^{-N} \langle \lambda r \rangle^{\frac{n+1}{2} - 2m}, \quad |\partial_\lambda^N F_\pm(\lambda r)| \lesssim \lambda^{-N} \langle \lambda r \rangle^{\frac{1-n}{2}}.$$

for all $N \geq 0$.

We say an operator K with integral kernel $K(x, y)$ is admissible if

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(x, y)| \, dy + \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(x, y)| \, dx < \infty.$$

By the Schur test, it follows that an operator with admissible kernel is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We are now ready to prove Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. Using the representations in Lemma 2.2 with $r_1 = |x - z_1|$ and $r_2 := |z_2 - y|$ we see that $K(x, y)$ is the difference of

$$(7) \quad K_\pm(x, y)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)}{r_1^{n-2m}} \int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda(r_1 \pm r_2)} \chi(\lambda) \lambda^{n-2m-1} \Gamma(\lambda)(z_1, z_2) F(\lambda r_1) F_\pm(\lambda r_2) d\lambda dz_1 dz_2.$$

We write

$$K(x, y) =: \sum_{j=1}^4 K_j(x, y),$$

where the K_j are K restricted to different regions. K_1 is the portion of K restricted to the set $r_1, r_2 \lesssim 1$, K_2 to the set $r_1 \approx r_2 \gg 1$, K_3 to the set $r_2 \gg \langle r_1 \rangle$, and K_4 to the set $r_1 \gg \langle r_2 \rangle$. We define $K_{j,\pm}$ analogously.

Since both λ and $r_j \lesssim 1$ we have $\lambda r_j \lesssim 1$. Using the bounds of Lemma 2.2 we bound the contribution of $|K_{1,\pm}(x, y)|$ by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1, r_2 \lesssim 1}}{r_1^{n-2m}} \widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \int_0^1 \lambda^{n-2m-1} \, d\lambda dz_1 dz_2$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1,r_2\lesssim 1}}{r_1^{n-2m}}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)dz_1dz_2,$$

since $n - 2m - 1 > -1$. Using the pointwise decay of v and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ in (4), we obtain

$$\int |K_{1,\pm}(x,y)|dy \lesssim \int \langle z_1\rangle^{-n-}\langle z_2\rangle^{-n-}r_1^{2m-n}dz_1dz_2 \lesssim 1,$$

uniformly in x. By the symmetry between x and y which implies that K_1 is admissible.

For K_2, we consider the contribution of $K_{2,-}$ since the $K_{2,+}$ is simpler. We integrate by parts twice in the λ integral when $\lambda|r_1 - r_2| \gtrsim 1$ (using (6) and the definition of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$) and estimate directly when $\lambda|r_1 - r_2| \ll 1$ to obtain

$$|K_{2,-}(x,y)| \lesssim$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1\approx r_2\gg 1}}{r_1^{n-2m}} \int_0^\infty \chi(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2m-1}\chi(\lambda|r_1-r_2|)\langle\lambda r_1\rangle^{1-2m}d\lambda dz_1dz_2$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1\approx r_2\gg 1}}{r_1^{n-2m}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\chi(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2m-3}\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda|r_1-r_2|)\langle\lambda r_1\rangle^{1-2m}}{|r_1-r_2|^2}d\lambda dz_1dz_2$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1\approx r_2\gg 1}}{r_1^{n-2m}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\chi(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2m-1}\langle\lambda r_1\rangle^{1-2m}}{\langle\lambda(r_1-r_2)\rangle^2}d\lambda dz_1dz_2.$$

Noting that $1 - 2m < 0$, we can integrate this bound with respect to x after converting to polar coordinates centered around z_1 to bound by

$$\int |K_{2,-}(x,y)|dx \lesssim$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_0^1 \int_{r_1\approx r_2\gg 1} v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)v(z_2)r_1^{n-1}\frac{\lambda^{n-2m-1}(\lambda r_1)^{1-2m}}{r_1^{n-2m}\langle\lambda(r_1-r_2)\rangle^2}dr_1d\lambda dz_1dz_2$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_0^1 \int_{r_1\approx r_2\gg 1} v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)v(z_2)\frac{\lambda^{n-4m}}{\langle\lambda(r_1-r_2)\rangle^2}dr_1d\lambda dz_1dz_2$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)v(z_2)\frac{\lambda^{n-4m-1}}{\langle\eta\rangle^2}d\eta d\lambda dz_1dz_2 \lesssim 1,$$

uniformly in y. In the second line we defined $\eta = \lambda(r_1 - r_2)$ in the r_1 integral and used $n - 4m - 1 \geq 0$. Since $r_1 \approx r_2$, the integral in y can be bounded uniformly in x similarly and hence the contribution of K_2 is admissible.

We now consider the contribution of

$$(8) \quad K_{4,\pm}(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1 \gg \langle r_2 \rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m}}$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda(r_1 \pm r_2)} F(\lambda r_1)\chi(\lambda)\Gamma(\lambda)(z_1,z_2)\lambda^{n-2m-1} F_\pm(\lambda r_2) \, d\lambda dz_1 dz_2.$$

When $\lambda r_1 \lesssim 1$, using (6), we bound $|F_\pm(\lambda r_2)|, |F(\lambda r_1)| \lesssim 1$ and estimate the λ integral by $r_1^{2m-n}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)$, whose contribution to K_4 is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)\chi_{r_1 \gg \langle r_2 \rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m+(n-2m)}} dz_1 dz_2.$$

Where apply Lemma 4.1 with $\ell = n - 4m$ and $k = n - 2m$ to show that that $K_{4,\pm}$ are admissible kernels.

When $\lambda r_1 \gtrsim 1$, we integrate by parts $N = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ times (using (6)) to obtain the bound

$$\frac{1}{|r_1 \pm r_2|^N} \int_0^\infty \left| \partial_\lambda^N \left[F(\lambda r_1)\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r_1)\chi(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2m-1}\Gamma(\lambda)(z_1,z_2)F_\pm(\lambda r_2) \right] \right| d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_1^{-N} \sum_{0 \leq j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq N, j_i \geq 0} \int_{\frac{1}{r_1}}^1 \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m-j_1} r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} \lambda^{n-2m-1-j_2} |\partial_\lambda^{j_3}\Gamma(\lambda)(z_1,z_2)| \frac{\lambda^{-j_4}}{\langle \lambda r_2 \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m-N}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2) \sum_{0 \leq j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq N, j_i \geq 0} \int_{\frac{1}{r_1}}^1 \lambda^{\frac{3n-1}{2}-4m-j_1-j_2-j_3-j_4}\langle \lambda r_2 \rangle^{\frac{1-n}{2}} d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m-N}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2) \int_{\frac{1}{r_1}}^1 \frac{\lambda^{\frac{3n-1}{2}-4m-N}}{\langle \lambda r_2 \rangle^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} d\lambda$$

Since $\frac{n-1}{2} > 0$ the contribution of $\langle \lambda r_2 \rangle$ can be ignored. Since $n > 4m$, $n - 4m \geq 1$ and

$$\frac{3n-1}{2} - 4m - N \geq 1 + \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1 \geq -1.$$

This gives us that the contribution from the λ integral is bounded by $\log(r_1) \lesssim r_1^{\frac{1}{4}}$. This gives us the total contribution to K_4 of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)}{r_1^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{3}{4}+N}} dz_1 \, dz_2.$$

Noting that $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \frac{n}{2} + 1 - \frac{3}{4} \geq n + \frac{1}{4}$, again using that $r_1 \gg r_2$, the contribution of this to (8) is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2)v(z_2)\chi_{r_1 \gg \langle r_2 \rangle}}{r_1^{n+\frac{1}{4}}} dz_1 dz_2,$$

which is admissible by Lemma 4.1.

We now consider K_3 which restricts the upper portion of the range of p to $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m}$. Using Lemma 2.2 we write

$$(9) \quad K_3(x, y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2 \gg \langle r_1 \rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m}} dz_1 dz_2$$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda(r_1 \pm r_2)} F(\lambda r_1)\chi(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2m-1}\Gamma(\lambda)(z_1, z_2)F_\pm(\lambda r_2) \, d\lambda dz_1 dz_2.$$

When $\lambda r_2 \lesssim 1$, we can apply (6) to obtain the bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2 \gg \langle r_1 \rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m}} \widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \int_0^{r_2^{-1}} \langle \lambda r_1 \rangle^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} \lambda^{n-2m-1} \langle \lambda r_2 \rangle^{\frac{1-n}{2}} \, d\lambda dz_1 dz_2$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2 \gg \langle r_1 \rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m}} \widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \int_0^{r_2^{-1}} \lambda^{n-2m-1} \, d\lambda dz_1 dz_2$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2 \gg \langle r_1 \rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m}r_2^{n-2m}} \widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2),$$

which is bounded when $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m}$ by Lemma 4.3 with $k = \ell = 0$.

When $\lambda r_2 \gtrsim 1$, we integrate by parts $N = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ times (using (6)) to obtain the bound

$$\frac{1}{|r_1 \pm r_2|^N} \int_0^\infty \left| \partial_\lambda^N \left[F(\lambda r_1)\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r_2)\chi(\lambda)\lambda^{n-2m-1}\Gamma(\lambda)(z_1, z_2)F_\pm(\lambda r_2) \right] \right| d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_2^{-N} \sum_{0 \leq j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq N, \, j_i \geq 0} \int_{\frac{1}{r_2}}^1 \lambda^{-j_1} \langle \lambda r_1 \rangle^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} \lambda^{n-2m-1-j_2} \left| \partial_\lambda^{j_3}\Gamma(\lambda)(z_1, z_2) \right| \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1-n}{2}-j_4}}{r_2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_2^{\frac{1-n}{2}-N}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \sum_{0 \leq j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4 \leq N, \, j_i \geq 0} \int_{\frac{1}{r_2}}^1 \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-2m-j_1-j_2-j_3-j_4} \langle \lambda r_1 \rangle^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_2^{\frac{1-n}{2}-N}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \int_{\frac{1}{r_2}}^1 \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-2m-N} \langle \lambda r_1 \rangle^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m} d\lambda.$$

Here we note that derivatives of $\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r_2)$ are comparable to division by λ. We consider the cases when $\lambda r_1 \gtrsim 1$ and $\lambda r_1 \lesssim 1$ as follows:

$$r_2^{\frac{1-n}{2}-N}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \int_{\frac{1}{r_2}}^1 \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-2m-N}\langle \lambda r_1 \rangle^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}d\lambda$$

$$\lesssim r_2^{-\frac{n-1}{2}-N}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2)\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r_2}}^{\min(\frac{1}{r_1},1)} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2m-N-\frac{1}{2}}\chi(\lambda r_1)d\lambda \right.$$

$$\left. + \int_{\min(\frac{1}{r_1},1)}^1 r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}\lambda^{n-4m-N}\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r_1)d\lambda \right).$$

Since $\frac{n}{2}-2m-N-\frac{1}{2} = \frac{n}{2}-\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil-2m-\frac{3}{2} \le -\frac{3}{2}$, the first integral is at most $r_2^{2m-\frac{n}{2}+N-\frac{1}{2}}$, so its contribution to (9) is at most

$$(10) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2\gg\langle r_1\rangle}}{r_1^{n-2m}r_2^{n-2m}}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2)dz_1dz_2,$$

which is bounded for $1 \le p < \frac{n}{2m}$ by Lemma 4.3.

Similarly, after multiplying the second integral by $(\lambda r_1)^{N-1} \gtrsim 1$,

$$\int_{\min(\frac{1}{r_1},1)}^1 r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m}\lambda^{n-4m-N}\widetilde{\chi}(\lambda r_1)d\lambda \lesssim \int_{\min(\frac{1}{r_1},1)}^1 r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m+N-1}\lambda^{n-4m-1}d\lambda,$$

since $n-4m-1 \ge 0$, the λ integral is bounded and the contribution is bounded by $r_1^{\frac{n+1}{2}-2m+N-1}$. Letting $\{n/2\} = \lceil n/2 \rceil - n/2$, the second integral's contribution to (9) is at most

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2\gg\langle r_1\rangle}r_1^{N-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}}{r_2^{N+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2)dz_1dz_2$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\chi_{r_2\gg\langle r_1\rangle}r_1^{\{n/2\}+\frac{1}{2}}}{r_2^{n+\{n/2\}+\frac{1}{2}}}\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2)dz_1dz_2,$$

which is admissible for all $1 \le p \le \infty$ by Lemma 4.2. $\qquad\square$

3. RESOLVENT AND INVERSE EXPANSIONS

It remains only to prove that the operators $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ defined in (3) satisfy the bounds (4) needed to apply Proposition 2.1. In this section we develop different resolvent expansions and develop an expansion of $M^+(\lambda)^{-1}$ for small λ when there is a threshold

eigenvalue. Throughout this section we consider the '+' limiting operators and omit the superscript.

Recall that $n_\star = n + 4$ if n is odd and $n_\star = n + 3$ if n is even. The bounds in Lemma 2.2 imply that the operator R_ℓ with kernel

$$(11) \qquad R_\ell(x, y) := v(x)v(y) \sup_{0 < \lambda < \lambda_0} |\lambda^\ell \partial_\lambda^\ell \mathcal{R}_0^+ (\lambda^{2m})(x, y)|$$

satisfies

$$R_\ell(x, y) \lesssim v(x)v(y)\big(|x - y|^{2m-n} + |x - y|^{\ell - (\frac{n-1}{2})}\big), \quad \ell \geq 0.$$

This pointwise bound implies that R_ℓ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 \leq \ell \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ provided that $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > n_\star$, see [4, 5].

We write the iterated resolvent operators

$$(12) \qquad A(\lambda, z_1, z_2) = \big[\big(\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})V\big)^{k-1}\mathcal{R}_0^+(\lambda^{2m})\big](z_1, z_2).$$

For odd dimensions $n > 4m$, if k is sufficiently large depending on n, m and $|V(x)| \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-n_\star-}$, then

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} |\lambda^\ell \partial_\lambda^\ell A(\lambda, z_1, z_2)| \lesssim \langle z_1 \rangle^2 \langle z_2 \rangle^2,$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n+3}{2} = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. This follows from the pointwise bounds on R_ℓ above. The iteration of the resolvents smooths out the local singularity $|x - \cdot|^{2m-n}$. Each iteration improves the local singularity by $2m$, so that after ℓ iterations the local singularity is of size $|x - \cdot|^{2m\ell-n}$. Selecting k large enough ensures that the local singularity is completely integrated away. See the proofs of Propositions 5.3 and 6.5 in [4] for more details. For even $n > 4m$, since we need fewer derivatives we have

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} |\lambda^\ell \partial_\lambda^\ell A(\lambda, z_1, z_2)| \lesssim \langle z_1 \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle z_2 \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}},$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq \frac{n+2}{2} = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. More compactly, we have that

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < 1} |\lambda^\ell \partial_\lambda^\ell A(\lambda, z_1, z_2)| \lesssim \langle z_1 \rangle^{\{\frac{n}{2}\}+\frac{3}{2}} \langle z_2 \rangle^{\{\frac{n}{2}\}+\frac{3}{2}}, \qquad 0 \leq \ell \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1.$$

Finally, recalling that

$$\Gamma_k(\lambda) = UvA(\lambda)v\lambda^{2m}M^{-1}(\lambda)vA(\lambda)vU,$$

our goal is to show that $\sup_{0<\lambda<\lambda_0} |\lambda^{\ell+2m} \partial_\lambda^\ell [M(\lambda)]^{-1}(x,y)|$ is bounded on L^2 for each $0 \le \ell \le \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$.

We then define the following operators or their integral kernels

$$G_0(x,y) := a_0 |x-y|^{2m-n} = \mathcal{R}_0(0)(x,y),$$

$$T_0 := U + vG_0 v.$$

Here $a_0 \ne 0$ is a real constant depending on m and n. Recall that the invertibility of T_0 on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is equivalent to the absence of a threshold eigenvalue when $n > 4m$, see [6]. We further define S_1 to be the Riesz projection onto the kernel of T_0 so that the operator $T_0 + S_1$ is invertible, and we define

$$D_0 := (T_0 + S_1)^{-1}.$$

From Proposition 2.4 in [6], we have

(13) $$\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda^{2m})(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda^{2mj} G_j(x,y) + c_{n,m} \lambda^{n-2m} + E_0(\lambda)(x,y)$$

with $N = \lfloor \frac{n}{2m} \rfloor$. Here

$$G_j(x,y) = c_{j,n,m} |x-y|^{2m-n+2mj}, j = 0, ..., N-1.$$

The exact value of these constants is unimportant for our purposes. We note that these expansions follow from those of the Schrödinger resolvents $R_0(z) = (-\Delta - z)^{-1}$ and the splitting identity

$$\mathcal{R}_0(z)(x,y) := ((-\Delta)^m - z)^{-1}(x,y) = \frac{1}{mz^{1-\frac{1}{m}}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \omega_\ell R_0(\omega_\ell z^{\frac{1}{m}})(x,y),$$

where $\omega_\ell = \exp(i2\pi\ell/m)$ are the mth roots of unity. The expansions utilize a significant amount of cancellation obtained from the splitting identity and the sum over the roots of unity. See also the proofs of Lemma 4.2 and 6.2 in [4].

We note that the error bounds for $E_0(\lambda)$ in [6] don't suffice for our purposes, instead we develop shorter expansions with more detailed control of the error term. We make this more precise with the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *When $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ and $0 \le \ell \le \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$, we have*

$$\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda) = G_0 + \lambda^{2m} G_1 + E(\lambda)$$

where for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we have

$$\lambda^\ell |\partial_\lambda^\ell E(\lambda)(x,y)| \lesssim \lambda^{2m+\epsilon} [|x-y|^{\{\frac{n}{2}\}+\frac{3}{2}} + |x-y|^{4m-n+\epsilon}],$$

where $\{n/2\} = \lceil n/2 \rceil - n/2$.

Proof. We need to consider cases as the resolvents behave differently in even and odd dimensions and based on the size of $\lambda|x-y|$. When n is odd and $\lambda|x-y| \ll 1$, we have from Lemma 2.3, equation (2.2), and Remark 2.2 in [6] that

$$\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda^{2m})(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{j,n,m} \lambda^{2mj} |x-y|^{2m-n+2mj} + c_{n,m} \lambda^{n-2m} |x-y|^0$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j,n,m} \lambda^{2mN+j} |x-y|^{2m-n+2mN+j}$$

with $N = \lfloor \frac{n}{2m} \rfloor$. Truncating after the first two terms of the series yields the expansion with

$$E(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \lambda^{2m+k} |x-y|^{4m-n+k}, \quad \lambda|x-y| \ll 1.$$

Note that many c_k may be zero, this doesn't affect our bounds. This implies that for any ℓ we have

$$\lambda^\ell |\partial_\lambda^\ell E(\lambda)(x,y) \chi(\lambda|x-y|)| \lesssim \lambda^{2m+1} |x-y|^{4m-n+1},$$

which suffices for small $\lambda|x-y|$.

When n is even, there are logarithms when $\lambda|x-y| \ll 1$ that we must account for. Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 in [6], (see also the expansion after Lemma 6.2 in [4]) we have the following representation

$$\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda^{2m}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2m} \rceil - 1} a_k \lambda^{2mk} |x-y|^{2m(k+1)-n} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \lambda^{n-2m+2j} |x-y|^{2j}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{2m} \rceil}^{\infty} c_k \lambda^{2m(k-1)} |x-y|^{2mk-n} \Big(\ln(|x-y|) + \ln \lambda \Big).$$

However, since the first logarithm appears with a power of λ at least as large as $n - 2m$ since $\lceil \frac{n}{2m} \rceil \geq 3$, the logarithms don't affect the required bound and

$$E(\lambda) = \sum_{k=2}^{\lceil \frac{n}{2m} \rceil - 1} a_k \lambda^{2mk} |x - y|^{2m(k+1)-n} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j \lambda^{n-2m+2j} |x - y|^{2j}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{2m} \rceil}^{\infty} c_k \lambda^{2m(k-1)} |x - y|^{2mk-n} \Big(\ln(|x - y|) + \ln \lambda \Big).$$

The first two sums with only powers of $\lambda |x - y|$ are controlled as in the odd n argument. For the logarithms, since $k - 1 \geq 2$, the largest possible contribution is of the form

$$\lambda^{4m} |x - y|^{6m-n} \ln(\lambda |x - y|) \lesssim \lambda^{4m-1} |x - y|^{6m-n-1},$$

using $\log(z) \lesssim z^{-1}$ when $z \lesssim 1$. We may further divide by powers of $(\lambda |x - y|)$ to match the polynomial bound as needed. Since $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\lambda^{\ell} \big| \partial_\lambda^\ell E(\lambda)(x, y) \chi(\lambda |x - y|) \big| \lesssim \lambda^{2m+1} |x - y|^{4m-n+1},$$

which suffices for small $\lambda |x - y|$.

The bound on the error term when $\lambda |x - y| \gtrsim 1$ follows from the bounds in Lemma 2.3 of [5] and the definition of the kernels of G_0, G_1, we have

$$\lambda^{\ell} |\partial_\lambda^\ell E(\lambda)| = \lambda^{\ell} \partial_\lambda^\ell \big[\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda^{2m}) - G_0(x, y) - \lambda^{2m} G_1(x, y) \big]$$

$$\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n+1}{2} - 2m + \ell} |x - y|^{\frac{1-n}{2} + \ell} + |x - y|^{2m-n} + \lambda^{2m} |x - y|^{4m-n}.$$

We note that $\frac{1-n}{2} + \ell \leq \frac{1-n}{2} + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1 = \{\frac{n}{2}\} + \frac{3}{2}$, and $\frac{n+1}{2} - 2m + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1 > 2m$. Since $\lambda |x - y| \gtrsim 1$ and $\lambda \lesssim 1$, we can bound this by

$$\lambda^{\ell} |\partial_\lambda^\ell E(\lambda) \widetilde{\chi}(\lambda |x - y|)| \lesssim \lambda^{2m+\epsilon} [|x - y|^{\{\frac{n}{2}\} + \frac{3}{2}} + |x - y|^{4m-n+\epsilon}].$$

$\square$

To invert $M(\lambda)$ in a neighborhood of the threshold, we utilize the Jensen-Nenciu inversion scheme in [13], which requires some set up. We introduce some notation

to help streamline the upcoming statements and proofs. For an absolutely bounded operator $T(\lambda)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we write $T(\lambda) = O_N(\lambda^j)$ to mean that

$$\||\lambda^\ell|\partial_\lambda^\ell T(\lambda)|\,\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \lesssim \lambda^j, \qquad 0 \leq \ell \leq N \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda < \lambda_0.$$

We note that, if S, T satisfy $S(\lambda) = O_N(\lambda^j)$ and $T(\lambda) = O_N(\lambda^k)$, by the product rule the composition of the operators ST is absolutely bounded and satisfies

$$S(\lambda)T(\lambda) = O_N(\lambda^{j+k}) \qquad \text{on } (0, \lambda_0).$$

Similarly,

$$\lambda^j T(\lambda) = O_N(\lambda^{j+k}) \qquad \text{on } (0, \lambda_0).$$

In particular, if R is an absolutely bounded operator on L^2, then

$$T(\lambda)R, \ RT(\lambda) = O_N(\lambda^k) \qquad \text{on } (0, \lambda_0).$$

Of particular use is the observation that if $T(\lambda) = O_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(\lambda^0)$, then

$$\sup_{0 < \lambda < \lambda_0} \sup_{0 \leq \ell \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1} \lambda^\ell |\partial_\lambda^\ell T(\lambda)|$$

is a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Finally, we have

Lemma 3.2. *If Γ is a λ-independent, invertible, absolutely bounded operator on L^2 with an absolutely bounded inverse, then for $\epsilon > 0$, we have (for sufficiently small λ_0)*

$$[\Gamma + O_N(\lambda^\epsilon)]^{-1} = \Gamma^{-1} + O_N(\lambda^\epsilon) = O_N(\lambda^0).$$

Proof. This is just a Neumann series expansion for $N = 0$. For derivatives $(1 \leq \ell \leq N)$, note that $\lambda^\ell \partial_\lambda^\ell \big[[\Gamma + O_N(\lambda^\epsilon)]^{-1} - \Gamma^{-1}\big]$ is a linear combination of operators of the form

$$[\Gamma + O_N(\lambda^\epsilon)]^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{J} \big[\lambda^{\ell_j} \partial_\lambda^{\ell_j} O_N(\lambda^\epsilon)[\Gamma + O_N(\lambda^\epsilon)]^{-1}\big] = O_0(\lambda^\epsilon).$$

Here $J \geq 1$, $1 \leq \ell_j \leq N$ with $\sum \ell_j = \ell$. $\qquad \square$

Our main result is the following proposition:

Proposition 3.3. *If $\beta > n_\star$ and there is a zero energy eigenvalue, the operator $M(\lambda)$ is invertible on L^2 for sufficiently small $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$. Furthermore, we have*

$$M^{-1}(\lambda) = O_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(\lambda^{-2m}).$$

By the discussion above, these bounds on $M^{-1}(\lambda)$ will suffice to allow us to establish that the operators Γ_k satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1. To this end, we have the following series of lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *If $\beta > n_\star$, then for sufficiently small λ the operator $M(\lambda) + S_1$ is invertible on L^2 with*

$$(M(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1} = O_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(\lambda^0).$$

Further, for any $0 < \epsilon < 1$, on $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ we have

$$(M + S_1)^{-1} = D_0 - \lambda^{2m} D_0 T_1 D_0 + O_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(\lambda^{2m+\epsilon}),$$

where $T_1 = vG_1v$.

Proof. For the first claim, recall that by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$M(\lambda) = U + v\mathcal{R}_0(\lambda^{2m})v = U + v(G_0 + \lambda^{2m}G_1 + E(\lambda))v,$$

where for $0 \leq \ell \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$

$$\lambda^\ell |\partial_\lambda^\ell vE(\lambda)v| \lesssim \lambda^{2m+\epsilon} v(x)|x-y|^{\{\frac{n}{2}\}+\frac{3}{2}} v(y) + \lambda^{2m+\epsilon} v(x)|x-y|^{4m-n+\epsilon} v(y).$$

The first term is Hilbert-Schmidt when $\beta > n_\star$ since

$$[v(x)|x-y|^{\{\frac{n}{2}\}+\frac{3}{2}} v(y)]^2 \leq \langle x \rangle^{-n-} \langle y \rangle^{-n-}.$$

In dimensions $4m < n \leq 8m$, the second term is Hilbert-Schmidt under weaker decay assumptions than $\beta > n_\star$. On the other hand, if $n > 8m$, the resulting kernel is too singular to be Hilbert-Schmidt, instead we identify $|x-y|^{4m-n+\epsilon}$ as a scalar multiple of the fractional integral operator $I_{4m+\epsilon}$. By Lemma 2.3 in [12], $I_{4m+\epsilon}$ is bounded from $L^{2,s} \to L^{2,-s}$ provided $s > 2m + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. This suffices to show the L^2 boundedness of

$v(x)\lambda^\ell|\partial_\lambda^\ell E(\lambda)|v(y)$ in dimensions $n > 8m$ since $v(x) \lesssim \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}$ with $\beta > n_* > 4m + 3$. We conclude that

$$M(\lambda) = T_0 + \lambda^{2m}T_1 + O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^{2m+\epsilon}).$$

We claim that D_0 is an absolutely bounded operator. To see this, first note that the argument of Lemma 4.3 in [3] may be adapted since, by the argument above, vG_0v is absolutely bounded. Here one needs to iterate the resolvent identity sufficiently since $(vG_0v)^k$ is Hilbert-Schmidt for sufficiently large k while vG_0v itself is not. We leave the details to the interested reader.

Since $T_0 + S_1$ is invertible on L^2 with an absolutely bounded inverse, by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$[M(\lambda) + S_1]^{-1} = O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^0).$$

To obtain the second claim, we utilize the resolvent identity $A^{-1} = B^{-1} + B^{-1}(B - A)A^{-1}$ with $A = (M(\lambda) + S_1)$ and $B = T_0 + S_1$. From this, we see that

$$[M(\lambda) + S_1]^{-1} = D_0 - D_0[M(\lambda) - T_0]D_0 + (D_0[M(\lambda) - T_0])^2[M(\lambda) + S_1]^{-1}.$$

Note that $M(\lambda) - T_0 = \lambda^{2m}T_1 + O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^{2m+\epsilon}) = O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^{2m})$. Therefore,

$$[M(\lambda) + S_1]^{-1} = D_0 - \lambda^{2m}D_0T_1D_0 + O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^{2m+\epsilon}) + O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^{4m}),$$

which suffices. $\qquad\square$

To utilize the Jensen-Nenciu inversion machinery, Corollary 2.2 in [13], we need to invert the operator

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{2m}}(S_1 - S_1(M(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1}S_1)$$

on S_1L^2. To do so, we note that $S_1D_0 = D_0S_1 = S_1$ so that the leading S_1 cancels and consider the operator

$$(14) \quad B(\lambda) = \lambda^{-2m}[S_1 - S_1(M(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1}S_1] = S_1T_1S_1 - S_1\lambda^{-2m}O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^{2m+\epsilon})S_1$$

$$= S_1T_1S_1 + S_1O_{\lceil\frac{n}{2}\rceil+1}(\lambda^\epsilon)S_1.$$

We now have

Lemma 3.5. *If $\beta > n_\star$, for sufficiently small λ the operator $B(\lambda)$ is invertible on $S_1 L^2$. Further, we have*

$$B^{-1}(\lambda) = O_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1}(\lambda^0)$$

as an operator on $S_1 L^2$.

Proof. Using the expansion in (14), we note that T_1 is an invertible operator on $S_1 L^2$ (c.f. Definition 2.6 and Remark 2.7 in [6]). Since $S_1 L^2$ is finite dimensional, T_1 and its inverse are absolutely bounded. The claim now follows from Lemma 3.2. $\qquad\square$

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.3:

Proof. By the Jensen-Nenciu inversion technique, [13], we have

$$(15) \quad M^{-1}(\lambda) = (M(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1} + \lambda^{-2m}(M(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1} S_1 B^{-1}(\lambda) S_1 (M(\lambda) + S_1)^{-1},$$

provided that $B(\lambda)$ is invertible on $S_1 L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, the claim follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5. $\qquad\square$

We are now ready to prove the main technical result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Proposition 3.3 shows that $\sup_{0 < \lambda < \lambda_0} |\lambda^{\ell + 2m} \partial_\lambda^\ell [M(\lambda)]^{-1}(x, y)|$ is L^2 bounded for all $0 \le \ell \le \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$. By (2), the definition of $W_{low,k}$, $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ and the discussion following (12), we see that the operator $\Gamma_k(\lambda)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1.

$\qquad\square$

4. Technical Lemmas

For completeness, we include necessary lemmas about the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ boundedness of certain integral kernels that are needed in the proof of Proposition 2.1. We use the two Lemmas below about admissible kernels, which are Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 in [5] respectively.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be an operator with integral kernel $K(x, y)$ that satisfies the bound*

$$|K(x, y)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1) v(z_2) \widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1, z_2) \chi_{\{|y - z_2| \gg \langle z_1 - x \rangle\}}}{|x - z_1|^{n - 2m - k} |z_2 - y|^{n + \ell}} \, dz_1 \, dz_2$$

for some $0 \leq k \leq n - 2m$ and $\ell > 0$. Then, under the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1, the kernel of K is admissible, and consequently K is a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let K be an operator with integral kernel $K(x,y)$ that satisfies the bound*

$$|K(x,y)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)\chi_{\{|y-z_2|\gg\langle z_1-x\rangle\}}|x-z_1|^\ell}{|z_2-y|^{n+\ell}} \, dz_1 \, dz_2$$

for some $\ell > 0$. Then, under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1, the kernel of K is admissible, and consequently K is a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We also need the following new bounds for the analysis of K_3.

Lemma 4.3. *Let K be an operator with integral kernel $K(x,y)$ that satisfies the bound*

$$|K(x,y)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{v(z_1)v(z_2)\widetilde{\Gamma}(z_1,z_2)\chi_{\{|y-z_2|\gg\langle z_1-x\rangle\}}}{|x-z_1|^{n-2m-\ell}|y-z_2|^{n-2m+k}} \, dz_1 dz_2$$

for some $-2m < k < 2m$ with $\ell < k + n - 4m$. Then, under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.1, K is a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m-k}$.

Proof. We show that $\|K(x,y)\|_{L_y^{p'}L_x^p}$ is bounded. Using the assumptions on $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ in Proposition 2.1, provided that $\frac{n}{n-2m+k} < p' \leq \infty$ or equivalently $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{2m-k}$, we may take the $L_y^{p'}$ norm to bound (recall that $r_1 = |x - z_1|$ and $r_2 = |z_2 - y|$)

$$\|K(x,y)\|_{L_y^{p'}L_x^p} \lesssim \left\| \langle z_1\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle z_2\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} r_1^{2m+\ell-n} \langle r_1\rangle^{2m-n-k+n/p'} \right\|_{L_{z_1}^1 L_{z_2}^1 L_x^p}$$

$$(16) \qquad \lesssim \left\| \langle z_1\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle z_2\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} r_1^{2m-n+\ell} \langle r_1\rangle^{2m-k-n/p} \right\|_{L_{z_1}^1 L_{z_2}^1 L_x^p}.$$

We then consider cases to control the upper bound in (16); when $r_1 \lesssim 1$ and $r_1 \gtrsim 1$. If $r_1 \gtrsim 1$, we can directly take the L_x^p norm since $4m - n - (k - \ell) < 0$ to see

$$\left\| \langle z_1\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle z_2\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} r_1^{2m-n+\ell} \langle r_1\rangle^{2m-k-n/p} \chi_{r_1\gg1} \right\|_{L_x^p L_{z_1}^1 L_{z_2}^1}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \langle z_1\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle z_2\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} r_1^{4m-n-n/p-(k-\ell)} \chi_{r_1\gg1} \right\|_{L_x^p L_{z_1}^1 L_{z_2}^1}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \langle z_1\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle z_2\rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \right\|_{L_{z_1}^1 L_{z_2}^1} \lesssim 1,$$

since $\beta > n$.

On the other hand, when $r_1 \lesssim 1$, we leverage the decay of $v(z_1)$ and since all quantities are non-negative take the $L^1_{z_1}$ norm first. Converting to polar coordinates centered around x, and using that $\langle z_1 \rangle \approx \langle x \rangle$, we have

$$\left\| \langle z_1 \rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle z_2 \rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} r_1^{2m-n+\ell} \langle r_1 \rangle^{2m-n-k+n-n/p} \chi_{r_1 \lesssim 1} \right\|_{L^1_{z_1} L^1_{z_2} L^p_x}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| \langle z_2 \rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \int_0^1 r^{2m+\ell-1} dr \right\|_{L^1_{z_2} L^p_x} \lesssim \left\| \langle z_2 \rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \langle x \rangle^{-\frac{\beta+n}{2}} \right\|_{L^1_{z_2} L^p_x} \lesssim 1,$$

since $2m + \ell - 1 > -1$ and $\beta > n$.

Therefore the kernel of K is a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 \le p < \frac{n}{2m-k}$. $\square$

Funding

References

[1] S. Agmon, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 2 (1975), no. 2, 151–218.

[2] M. B. Erdoğan, M. Goldberg, and W. R. Green, *Counterexamples to L^p boundedness of wave operators for classical and higher order Schrödinger operators,* J. Funct. Anal. 285, (2023) no. 5, 110008. 16 pages.

[3] M. B. Erdoğan, W. R. Green, and E. Toprak, *On the Fourth order Schrödinger equation in three dimensions: dispersive estimates and zero energy resonances.* J. Differ. Eq., 267, (2019), no. 3, 1899–1954.

[4] M. B. Erdoğan, and W. R. Green, *The L^p-continuity of wave operators for higher order Schrödinger operators,* Adv. Math. 404 (2022), Paper No. 108450.

[5] M. B. Erdoğan, and W. R. Green, *A note on endpoint L^p-continuity of wave operators for classical and higher order Schrödinger operators* J. Differential Equations 355 (2023), 144–161.

[6] H. Feng, A. Soffer, Z. Wu, and X. Yao, *Decay estimates for higher order elliptic operators,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 373 (2020), no. 4, 2805—2859.

[7] D. Finco, and K. Yajima, *The L^p boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities II. Even dimensional case.* J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 (2006), no. 3, 277–346.

[8] A. Galtbayar, and K. Yajima. *The L^p-boundedness of wave operators for fourth order Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^4$.* J. Spectr. Theory 14 (2024), 271–354.

[9] M. Goldberg and W. Green, *The L^p boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities.* Adv. Math. 303 (2016), 360–389.

[10] M. Goldberg, and W. Green, *On the L^p boundedness of the Wave Operators for fourth order Schrödinger operators,.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 374 (2021), 4075–4092.

[11] L. Hörmander, *The existence of wave operators in scattering theory.* Math. Z. 146 (1976), no. 1, 69–91.

[12] A. Jensen, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions results in $L^2(R^m)$, $m \geq 5$.* Duke Math. J. 47 (1980), no. 1, 57–80.

[13] Jensen, A., and G. Nenciu. *A unified approach to resolvent expansions at thresholds.* Rev. Mat. Phys. vol. 13, no. 6 (2001), 717–754.

[14] H. Mizutani, Z. Wan, and X. Yao, *L^p-boundedness of wave operators for fourth-order Schrödinger operators on the line*, Adv. Math., 451, (2024), Paper No. 109806.

[15] H. Mizutani, Z. Wan, and X. Yao, *L^p-boundedness of wave operators for fourth order Schrödinger operators with resonances on $\mathbb{R}^3$*, preprint, 70 pages, 2023. arXiv:2311.06763.

[16] H. Mizutani, Z. Wan, and X. Yao, *Counterexamples and weak (1,1) estimates of wave operators for fourth-order Schrdinger operators in dimension three*, preprint, 31 pages, 2023. arXiv:2311.06768.

[17] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics III: Scattering Theory*, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1972.

[18] M. Schechter, *Scattering theory for pseudodifferential operators,* Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 27 (1976), no. 105, 111–121.

[19] M. Schechter, *Scattering theory for elliptic operators of arbitrary order.* Comment. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 84–113.

[20] K. Yajima, *The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators.* J. Math. Soc. Japan 47 (1995), no. 3, 551–581.

[21] K. Yajima, *The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators. II. Positive potentials in even dimensions $m \geq 4$.* Spectral and scattering theory (Sanda, 1992), 287–300, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 161, Dekker, New York, 1994.

[22] K. Yajima, *The $W^{k,p}$-continuity of wave operators for Schrödinger operators. III. Even-dimensional cases $m \geq 4$.* J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 2 (1995), no. 2, 311–346.

[23] K. Yajima, *The L^p boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities I. The odd dimensional case.* J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 13 (2006), 43–94.

[24] K. Yajima, *Wave Operators for Schrödinger Operators with Threshold Singularities, Revisited.* Preprint, arXiv:1508.05738.

[25] K. Yajima, *Remark on the L^p-boundedness of wave operators for Schrödinger operators with threshold singularities*, Documenta Mathematica 21 (2016), 391–443.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, U.S.A.

Email address: berdogan@illinois.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROSE-HULMAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TERRE HAUTE, IN 47803, U.S.A.

Email address: green@rose-hulman.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, U.S.A.

Email address: kevinl17@illinois.edu