## ACTIVE LEARNING EXERCISE: ε-NTU Discovery Session

The effectiveness-NTU ( $\epsilon$ -NTU) method not only gives us an easy way to perform heat exchanger *analysis* problems, it gives us physical insight into the performance of HXRs. The basis of this insight is that the *effectiveness*,  $\epsilon$ , tells us how well our HXR performs compared to the theoretically best heat exchanger. Using the  $\epsilon$ -NTU relationships (equations and charts), answer the following questions.

(1) What is the possible range for effectiveness? (Holy cow, that's easy!)

(2) For a given *NTU* and *C*, which heat exchanger construction/flow direction combination has the highest effectiveness?

(3) How does effectiveness vary with *C*?

- (4) For what value of *C* is effectiveness at its maximum?
  - a. How does this value of *C* for  $\varepsilon_{max}$  vary with HXR type? flow direction?

b. For this value of *C*, what does this mean for one of the fluid's *m*<sub>dot</sub>*c*<sub>p</sub> value? What does it mean about this fluid *physically*?

(5) If NTU < 0.3, which equation would you use for  $\varepsilon$ ? Why?

- (6) Let's say you are thinking about increasing the effectiveness of your HXR by increasing its *UA* value. You can do this in two ways:
  - a. You can increase flowrate(s) which increases h(s) and thereby U. But that means increasing your operational cost. (Bigger  $\Delta p$  means bigger pumping power required.)
  - b. You can increase *A*, but that increases the capital cost of the HXR. (Bigger *A* means more material to build the HXR.)

By consulting the  $\varepsilon$  -*NTU* charts, come up with a criterion by which you can determine whether it is worth the increase in either operational or capital cost to increase your *UA*. (Hint: Think about where *UA* shows up in the  $\varepsilon$ -*NTU* method.)

