

## MA/CSSE 473 HW 10 textbook problems and hints

### 1. Problem 6.1.5 [6.1.7] (10)

7. To sort or not to sort? Design a reasonably efficient algorithm for solving each of the following problems and determine its efficiency class.
- You are given  $n$  telephone bills and  $m$  checks sent to pay the bills ( $n \geq m$ ). Assuming that telephone numbers are written on the checks, find out who failed to pay. (For simplicity, you may also assume that only one check is written for a particular bill and that it covers the bill in full.)
  - You have a file of  $n$  student records indicating each student's number, name, home address, and date of birth. Find out the number of students from each of the 50 U.S. states.

#### Author's hint:

- The problem is similar to one of the preceding problems in these exercises.
- How would you solve this problem if the student information were written on index cards? Better yet, think how somebody else, who has never taken a course on algorithms but possesses a good dose of common sense, would solve this problem.

### Problem 2: 6.28c ( 10)

The *Gauss-Jordan elimination* method differs from Gaussian elimination in that the elements above the main diagonal of the coefficient matrix are made zero at the same time and by the same use of a pivot row as the elements below the main diagonal.

- Apply the Gauss-Jordan method to the system of Problem 1 of these exercises.
- What general design technique is this algorithm based on?
- ▷ In general, how many multiplications are made by this method while solving a system of  $n$  equations in  $n$  unknowns? How does this compare with the number of multiplications made by the Gaussian elimination method in both its elimination and its back-substitution stages?

**Students are only required to do part c. I put the rest here for context.**

**Author's hint:**

8. a. Manipulate the matrix rows above a pivot row the same way the rows below the pivot row are changed.
- b. Are the Gauss-Jordan method and Gaussian elimination based on the same algorithm design technique or on different ones?
- c. Derive the formula for the number of multiplications in the Gauss-Jordan method the same way it was done for Gaussian elimination in Section 6.2.

**Problem 3 6.3.7 (6)**

7. a. Construct a 2-3 tree for the list C, O, M, P, U, T, I, N, G. (Use the alphabetical order of the letters and insert them successively starting with the empty tree.)
- b. Assuming that the probabilities of searching for each of the keys (i.e., the letters) are the same, find the largest number and the average number of key comparisons for successful searches in this tree.

**Author's hint:**

7. a. Trace the algorithm for the input given (see Figure 6.8) for an example.
- b. Keep in mind that the number of key comparisons made in searching for a key in a 2-3 tree depends not only on its node's depth but also whether the key is the first or second one in the node.

**Problem 4 (20) not from textbook**

(sum of heights of nodes in a full tree) In this problem, we consider completely full binary trees with  $N$  nodes and height  $H$  (so that  $N = 2^{H+1} - 1$ )

- (a) (5 points) Show that the sum of the heights of all of the nodes of such a tree can be

expressed as  $\sum_{k=0}^H k 2^{H-k}$ .

- (b) (10 points) Prove by induction on  $H$  that the above sum of the heights of the nodes is  $N - H - 1$ . You may base your proof on the summation from part (a) (so you don't need to refer to trees at all), or you may do a "standard" binary tree induction based on the heights of the trees, using the definition that a non-empty binary tree has a root plus left and right subtrees. I find the tree approach more straightforward, but you may use the summation if you prefer.
- (c) (3 points) What is the big  $\Theta$  time for the sum of the *depths* of all of the nodes in such a tree?
- (d) (2 points) How does the result of parts (b) and (c) apply to Heapsort analysis?

**Example of height and depth sums:** Consider a full tree with height 2 (7 nodes).

Heights: root: 2, leaves: 0. Sum of all heights:  $1*2 + 2*1 + 4*0 = 3$ .

Depths: root: 0, leaves: 2. Sum of all depths:  $1*0 + 2*1 + 4*2 = 10$ .

### Problem 5 6.4.12 [6.4.11] (10)

11. *Spaghetti sort* Imagine a handful of uncooked spaghetti, individual rods whose lengths represent numbers that need to be sorted.
- Outline a “spaghetti sort”—a sorting algorithm that takes advantage of this unorthodox representation.
  - What does this example of computer science folklore (see [Dew93]) have to do with the topic of this chapter in general and heapsort in particular?

#### Author's hint:

11. Pick the spaghetti rods up in a bundle and place them end-down (i.e., vertically) onto a tabletop.

### Problem 6 6.5.10 [6.5.9] (4)

9. Is it a good idea to use a general-purpose polynomial evaluation algorithm such as Horner's rule to evaluate the polynomial  $p(x) = x^n + x^{n-1} + \dots + x + 1$ ?

#### Author's hint:

9. Use a formula for the sum of the terms of this special kind of a polynomial.

### Problem 7 7.1.6 (10)

6. ▷ The *ancestry problem* asks to determine whether a vertex  $u$  is an ancestor of vertex  $v$  in a given binary (or, more generally, rooted ordered) tree of  $n$  vertices. Design a  $O(n)$  input enhancement algorithm that provides sufficient information to solve this problem for any pair of the tree's vertices in constant time.

#### Author's hint:

Take advantage of the standard traversals of such trees.

#### Instructor notes

7. (10) 7.1.6 (**ancestry problem**). You may **NOT** assume any of the following:
- The tree is binary
  - The tree is a search tree (i.e. that the elements are in some particular order)
  - The tree is balanced in any way.

The tree is simply a connected directed graph with no cycles and a single source node (the root)