

What to do when *a test fails*

The next slides cover each of the steps below, via a concrete example.

1. *Avoid the temptation to just try things (fiddling with your code)!*
2. **Solve the test case that failed *by hand*.**
3. **Put *print* statements** that print the values of relevant variables at relevant places, in hopes of (per the following steps) discovering when the program first went wrong.
4. **Run the program. Examine the output, line by line.** Find the first line where ***what you expected to be printed is different from what actually was printed***.
 - If all the output is now what you expected:
 - If the code now passes the test case, you are done!
 - Otherwise, return to Step 3 and add additional ***print*** statements (and possibly remove some existing ones) to discover when the program first went wrong.
5. Figure out ***why*** the output is different than you expected. That is, identify the line(s) of code where the code does not do what you wanted it to do.
6. ***Correct the mistake(s)*** that the previous step uncovered. That is, make the code do what you want it to do.
7. **Go back to Step 4.**

What to do when **a test fails**: a solved example

MUTATES the given list of integers to become partially sorted, as follows:

- *Compares the first (beginning) and last items in the list.*
If the first item is greater than the last item, this function swaps those two items.
- *Compares the second and second-to-last items in the list. If the second item is greater than the second-to-last item, this function swaps those two items.*
- *And so forth.*

For example, if the given list of integers is:

```
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
```

then after this function call that list of integers is mutated into:

```
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
```

because 50 is compared to 80,

then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),

then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),

then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (below) is a solution **with multiple errors**. The next slides work through the debugging steps one might do to locate and fix those mistakes.

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):  
    left_index = 0  
    right_index = len(list_of_integers)  
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):  
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:  
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
    left_index = 0
    right_index = len(list_of_integers)
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (above) is a solution *with multiple errors*. When we run the program for the first time, the code breaks, giving the message in red below.

[File "C:\EclipseWorkspaces\csse120\Session13 Test2Practice mutchler\src\problem1.py", line 98, in problem1a](#)

```
if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
IndexError: list index out of range
```

Per a previous video, the message is helpful: We know that *left_index* or *right_index* is incorrect. So we put a *print* statement that prints them, along with a print statement that prints the argument *list_of_integers* for our test case. (Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):  
    left_index = 0  
    right_index = len(list_of_integers)  
  
    print(list_of_integers)  
    print(left_index, right_index)  
  
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):  
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:  
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*.
When we run the program for the first time, the code
breaks, giving the message on the previous slide (in red).

```
-----  
Testing the    problem1a    function:  
-----
```

```
Before the mutation: [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
```

```
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
```

```
0 (8)
```

The output from the *print*
statements is shown above.

In response, (per the previous slide)
we put *print* statements (shown in
purple) that prints those variables
along with the *list_of_integers*
(which is our test case).

I expected the test list to be just as what is printed – good!
I expected *left_index* to be 0, and 0 is printed – good!
I expected *right_index* to be 7 (for the test list), but 8 is printed –
looks like I have identified the place where my code did not work as I
expected, good! (Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):  
    left_index = 0  
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1  
    print(list_of_integers)  
    print(left_index, right_index)  
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):  
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:  
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*.
On the previous slide, we determined that the initial
value for *right_index* was NOT what I expected:
it was **8**, but I expected **7** on the test list.

That woke me up to my first error – *right_index* should start at
len(list_of_integers) - 1, not *len(list_of_integers)*.

So I made the correction and have
shown the corrected line in *purple* in
the code above.

I run the program again and this time I get the output shown below.

Before the mutation: [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
0 7

After the mutation: [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
The above should be: [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
FAILED the test!

This time the code does NOT break
(good!). It prints the expected value for
right_index (7) – good!

However, the code now *fails the test*, as
shown to the left. So now I continue the
debugging, looking for a second mistake.
(Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):  
    left_index = 0  
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1  
    print(list_of_integers)  
    print(left_index, right_index)  
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):  
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:  
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*. I have fixed one error (the correction is shown in *purple*), but now the code fails the test, as shown in the output below.

Before the mutation: [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]

0 7

After the mutation: [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
The above should be: [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
FAILED the test!

Now I need to put additional *print* statements, this time *INSIDE* the loop. Once again, I am trying to locate the first place in the code's execution where what is printed is *NOT* what I expected to be printed.

Inside the loop, I want to print just about everything, since I do not know what is going wrong. So inside the loop, before the IF statement, I put:

```
print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],  
      list_of_integers[right_index])
```

(Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):  
    left_index = 0  
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1  
    print(list_of_integers)  
    print(left_index, right_index)  
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):  
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],  
              list_of_integers[right_index])  
  
    if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:  
        list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  
        list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared

Here (to the left) is a solution **with multiple errors**. I have fixed one error, but the code now fails the test. In response, I added another **print** statement, shown in **purple**.

Note that I used a **single print** statement rather than multiple ones. That makes the output easier to read when it is in a loop – I get one line of output for each iteration of the loop.

I run the program again. The relevant output is shown below.

```
0 7  
0 0 7 50 80  
1 0 7 50 80  
2 0 7 50 80  
3 0 7 50 80  
4 0 7 50 80  
5 0 7 50 80  
6 0 7 50 80  
7 0 7 50 80
```

Yikes! Although ***k*** is changing as expected (it is the left column of numbers), the other variables are **not changing at all** as the loop continues!

Again, I have found a place where **what is printed is NOT what I expected to be printed**. Again, I ask myself: Why did my code behave in this unexpected way? (Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):  
    left_index = 0  
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1  
    print(list_of_integers)  
    print(left_index, right_index)  
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):  
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],  
              list_of_integers[right_index])  
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:  
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*. I have fixed one error, but the code now fails the test. In response, I added another *print* statement, shown in *purple*.

The relevant output when I ran with that new *print* statement is shown below.

Per the previous slide, I am surprised to see that although *k* is changing as expected, the other variables are *not changing at all* as the loop continues!

Again, I have found a place where *what is printed is NOT what I expected to be printed*. Again, I ask myself: Why did my code behave in this unexpected way?

Ah! I forgot to make the index variables change! I meant to include:

```
left_index = left_index + 1  
right_index = right_index - 1
```

at the end of the loop. So I add those statements and run the program again.
(Continues on next slide.)

0	7
0	0 7 50 80
1	0 7 50 80
2	0 7 50 80
3	0 7 50 80
4	0 7 50 80
5	0 7 50 80
6	0 7 50 80
7	0 7 50 80

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
```

```
    left_index = 0
```

```
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1
```

```
    print(list_of_integers)
```

```
    print(left_index, right_index)
```

```
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
```

```
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],  
              list_of_integers[right_index])
```

```
        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
```

```
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
```

```
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
```

```
        left_index = left_index + 1
```

```
        right_index = right_index - 1
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:

```
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
```

then after this function call

that list of integers is mutated into:

```
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
```

because 50 is compared to 80,

then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),

then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),

then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution **with multiple errors**. I have fixed two errors, but the code still fails the test. Here (below and to the left) is the relevant output when I run after adding the two lines shown in **purple**.

I re-examine the output. Now both **left_index** and **right_index** are behaving as I expected them to do, as shown by the **purple** circle in the output. Also, I see that I am comparing the right items for the first several iterations: first 50 and 80, then 77 and 30, and so forth, as shown by the **green** circle.

But the last three iterations (circled in **red**) are NOT what I expected. And the test failed – the final state of the list has bogus numbers in it (again circled in **red**). So I again add a **print** statement, this time inside the IF statement, to try to figure out what is going on.

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
2 2 5 40 10
3 3 4 3 90
4 4 3 90 3
5 5 2 10 10
6 6 1 30 30
7 7 0 80 50
```

After the mutation: [50, 30, 10, 3, 3, 10, 30, 50]

The above should be: [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80] FAILED the test!

(Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
    left_index = 0
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1
    print(list_of_integers)
    print(left_index, right_index)
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],
              list_of_integers[right_index])

        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
            print(k, list_of_integers)
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
            print(k, list_of_integers)

    left_index = left_index + 1
    right_index = right_index - 1
```

I put the new *print* statements (shown in *purple*) both **before** and **after** the “swap” code, and I printed *k* (so that I know the iteration) as well as the entire list (because something is going wrong with it).

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*. I have fixed two errors, but the code still fails the test. Here (below and to the left) is the relevant output when I run after adding the two lines shown in *purple*. (The full output is shown directly below, in smaller print.)

When *k* is *0*, the code correctly compares *50* and *80* and correctly determines NOT to swap them. When *k* is *1*, the code correctly compares *77* and *30* and *correctly determines to swap them*.

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
1 [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
1 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
...
```

(Continues on next slide.)

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
to 80,
30 (swap them!),
10 (swap them!),
90.

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
1 [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
1 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
2 2 5 40 10
2 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
2 [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
3 3 4 3 90
4 4 3 90 3
4 [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
4 [50, 30, 10, 3, 3, 10, 30, 80]
5 5 2 10 10
6 6 1 30 30
7 7 0 80 50
7 [50, 30, 10, 3, 3, 10, 30, 80]
7 [50, 30, 10, 3, 3, 10, 30, 50]
After the mutation: [50, 30, 10, 3, 3, 10, 30, 50]
The above should be: [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
FAILED the test!
```

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
    left_index = 0
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1
    print(list_of_integers)
    print(left_index, right_index)
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],
              list_of_integers[right_index])

        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
            print(k, list_of_integers)
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
            print(k, list_of_integers)

    left_index = left_index + 1
    right_index = right_index - 1
```

When k is 0 , the code correctly compares 50 and 80 and correctly determines NOT to swap them. When k is 1 , the code correctly compares 77 and 30 and *correctly determines to swap them*.

But when the “swap” of 77 and 30 occurs., the list at index 1 becomes 30 (good!) but I expected the list at index 6 to become 77 . The print statement says that it remains 30 (circled in red in the output). So I run the code “by hand”:

```
list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]  list at 1 becomes value of list at 6, which is 30. Good!
list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]  list at 6 becomes value of list at 1, which is now 30. Oops!
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*. I have fixed two errors, but the code still fails the test. Here (below) is the relevant output when I run after adding the two lines shown in *purple*. (The full output is shown on the previous slide.)

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
1 [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
1 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
...
```

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
    left_index = 0
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1
    print(list_of_integers)
    print(left_index, right_index)
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],
              list_of_integers[right_index])

        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
            print(k, list_of_integers)
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
            list_of_integers[right_index] = list_of_integers[left_index]
            print(k, list_of_integers)

    left_index = left_index + 1
    right_index = right_index - 1
```

Per the analysis on the previous slide, I see that my “swap” technique does not work. So I google for “swap variables” and learn that the right way to swap variables A and B is per this pattern:

```
temp = A
A = B
B = temp
```

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

Here (to the left) is a solution *with multiple errors*. I have fixed two errors, but the code still fails the test. Here (below) is the relevant output when I run after adding the two lines shown in *purple*. (The full output is shown on a previous slide.)

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
1 [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
1 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
...
```

I then correct my code to do the swap correctly and run the program again... (Continues on next slide.)

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
    left_index = 0
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1
    print(list_of_integers)
    print(left_index, right_index)
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],
              list_of_integers[right_index])

        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
            print(k, list_of_integers)
            temp = list_of_integers[left_index]
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
            list_of_integers[right_index] = temp
            print(k, list_of_integers)

        left_index = left_index + 1
        right_index = right_index - 1
```

When k is 0 (see first blue circle in output), the code correctly does NOT swap 50 and 80 . When k is 1 , the code correctly and successfully swaps the 77 and 30 (see the first set of green and purple circles). When k is 2 , the code correctly and successfully swaps 40 and 10 (next set of green/purple circles). When k is 3 , the code correctly does NOT swap 3 and 90 (see second blue circle). But ... (Continues on next slide.)

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

The correct swap (per the previous slide) is shown in *purple* in the code below.

When I run the program with the correct swap, I get the output shown below. (I have shown the output only to the relevant point.)

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
1 [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
1 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 77, 80]
2 2 5 40 10
2 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 77, 80]
2 [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
3 3 4 3 90
4 4 3 90 3
4 [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
4 [50, 30, 10, 90, 3, 40, 77, 80]
```

What to do when *a test fails*: a solved example

```
def problem1a(list_of_integers):
    left_index = 0
    right_index = len(list_of_integers) - 1
    print(list_of_integers)
    print(left_index, right_index)
    for k in range(len(list_of_integers)):
        print(k, left_index, right_index, list_of_integers[left_index],
              list_of_integers[right_index])

        if list_of_integers[left_index] > list_of_integers[right_index]:
            print(k, list_of_integers)
            temp = list_of_integers[left_index]
            list_of_integers[left_index] = list_of_integers[right_index]
            list_of_integers[right_index] = temp
            print(k, list_of_integers)

        left_index = left_index + 1
        right_index = right_index - 1
```

(Continued from the previous slide.) When *k* is 4, the code looks at 90 and 3, sees that they are in the wrong order, and *re-swaps them back to their original positions*. (See the set of green and red circles.) *Oops!*

My loop is going too far. After it reaches the middle, I have to STOP the loop at that point. I make that correction, run again and *pass the tests!*

For example, if the given list of integers is:
[50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
then after this function call
that list of integers is mutated into:
[50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
because 50 is compared to 80,
then 77 is compared to 30 (swap them!),
then 40 is compared to 10 (swap them!),
then 3 is compared to 90.

The correct swap (per the previous slide) is shown in *purple* in the code below.

When I run the program with the correct swap, I get the output shown below. (I have shown the output only to the relevant point.)

```
0 7
0 0 7 50 80
1 1 6 77 30
1 [50, 77, 40, 3, 90, 10, 30, 80]
1 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 77, 80]
2 2 5 40 10
2 [50, 30, 40, 3, 90, 10, 77, 80]
2 [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
3 3 4 3 90
4 4 3 90 3
4 [50, 30, 10, 3, 90, 40, 77, 80]
4 [50, 30, 10, 90, 3, 40, 77, 80]
```